26 - 04 - 2022

News: Ministry, UNDP sign pact on SDGs

The Ministry of Panchayati Raj and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) have signed a joint statement of understanding on localization of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Sustainable Development Goals (SDG)

- ➤ The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, adopted by all United Nations Member States in 2015, provides a shared blueprint for peace and prosperity for people and the planet, now and into the future.
- At its heart are the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which are an urgent call for action by all countries developed and developing in a global partnership
- ➤ 17 Goals are: No poverty, Zero Hunger, Good Health & Well Being, Quality Education, Gender Equality, Clean Water & Sanitation, Affordable & Clean Energy, Decent work & Economic Growth, Industry, Innovation & Infrastructure, Reduced Inequalities, Sustainable Cities & Communities, Responsible Consumption & Production, Climate Action, Life Below water,

Life on Land, Peace, Justice & Strong Institutions and Partnership for the Goals.





News: Anti-Defection Law

➤ Recently, the Vice-President has said that the time has come to amend the antidefection legislation in the country to plug existing loopholes.

Anti Defection Law

The anti defection law punishes individual Members of Parliament (MPs) or MLAs for leaving one party for another.

- ➤ Parliament added it to the Constitution as the Tenth Schedule in 1985. Its purpose was to bring stability to governments by discouraging legislators from changing parties.
- ➤ The Tenth Schedule –popularly known as the Anti-Defection Act –was included in the Constitution via the 52nd Amendment Act, 1985.
- ➤ It sets the provisions for disqualification of elected members on the grounds of defection to another political party.
- ➤ It was a response to the toppling of multiple state governments by party-hopping MLAs after the general elections of 1967.
- ➤ However, it allows a group of MP/MLAs to join (i.e., merge with) another political party without inviting the penalty for defection. And it does not penalize political parties for encouraging or accepting defecting legislators.
- As per the 1985 Act, a 'defection' by one-third of the elected members of a political party was considered a 'merger'.
- ➤ But the 91st Constitutional Amendment Act of 2003 changed this and now at least two-thirds of the members of a party must be in favour of a "merger" for it to have validity in the eyes of the law.
- The members disqualified under the law cannot stand for elections from any political party for a seat in the same House.

- The decision on questions as to disqualification on ground of defection is referred to the Chairman or the Speaker of such House, which is subject to 'Judicial review'.
- ➤ However, the law does not provide a timeframe within which the presiding officer has to decide a defection case.

Grounds of Disqualification

- ➤ If an elected member voluntarily gives up his membership of a political party.
- ➤ If he votes or abstains from voting in such House contrary to any direction issued by his political party or anyone authorized to do so, without obtaining prior permission.
- As a pre-condition for his disqualification, his abstention from voting should not be condoned by his party or the authorized person within 15 days of such incident.
- ➤ If any independently elected member joins any political party.
- ➤ If any nominated member joins any political party after the expiry of six months.

Different Suggestions related to the Anti-defection Law

- ➤ The Election Commission has suggested it should be the deciding authority in defection cases.
- ➤ Others have argued that the President and Governors should hear defection petitions.
- The Supreme Court has suggested that Parliament should set up an independent tribunal headed by a retired judge of the higher judiciary to decide defection cases swiftly and impartially.
- ➤ Some commentators have said the law has failed and recommended its removal.

 Former Vice President Hamid Ansari has suggested that it applies only to save governments in no-confidence motions.